

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/568,724	SCHAFFER ET AL	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Celia Chang	1625	

All Participants:

(1) Celia Chang

(2) Joseph C. Suhadolnik

Date of Interview: 16 November 2009

Status of Application: response to nonfinal 7/20/09

(3) _____

(4) _____

Time: _____

Type of Interview:

- ☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No

If Yes, provide a brief description: _____

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

n/a

Claims discussed:

9-10

Prior art documents discussed:

n/a

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

Claims 9-10 are drawn to the non-elected claims which will be canceled without prejudice against applicant's filing of divisional applications. An examiner's amendment was authorized.

Part III.

- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

/Celia Chang/
Primary Examiner, Art Unit 1625

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)